

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/308,047	07/01/99	KUBEIN-MEESENBURG		D	1920/47784
		QM32/0620	\neg	EXAMINER	
EVENSON MCKEOWN EDWARDS & LENAHAN				SMITH,	T
1200 G STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 700 NASHINGTON DC 20005			3732	6	
				DATE MAILED:	06/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/308,047

Applicantis)

Kubein-Meesenburg et al

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Jeffrey A. Smith

Group Art Unit 3732



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 1, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	· ·
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved. y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because:

"D" (two occurrences) (Fig. 2) should be accompanied by appropriate subscripts; and

Page 2

"9" (Fig. 9) should read as --10--.

Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

> "second [sic]" (page 2, line 3) should be deleted; the brief description of Figures 1-11 at page 4 should provide more specifics with regard to what the Figures depict;

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047

Art Unit: 3732

" $\alpha = \alpha 2 + \alpha 1$ " (page 4, line 21) should read as $--\alpha = \alpha 2$ $-\alpha 1 -- \text{ as per the mathematical description of an}$ overlaid dimeric chain at page 2, lines 22-27);and

"contact line F2" (page 5, line 14) should read as --contact line L2--.

Page 3

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are largely narrative and to not clearly set forth the structure of the artificial joint. Moreover, language such as "in particular endoprosthesis for replacement of natural joints" and "and where the second joint side is designed accordingly" is indefinite as it is not clear what purpose or further limitation this language presents. Additionally, the format of the claim is awkward and appears to be a direct translation of the priority document. The Examiner suggests placing the claims in a format more readily accepted for US

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

prosecution. The claims have been interpreted as best understood. Applicant must make the appropriate corrections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Pappas (U.S. Patent No. 5,507,820).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The additional references cited disclose various features which are similar to those disclosed by Applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/308,047 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3588.

Peffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jas June 18, 2000